Serial No. 09/938,912 Attorney Docket No. 87141937.2002

## REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

This Amendment and Response to Office Action is filed in response to the Office Action of July 15, 2004. Presently, claims 1-29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,567,805 issued to Johnson et al. ("Johnson" hereafter) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,393,435 issued to Gartner et al. ("Gartner" hereafter). The rejections set forth in the outstanding Office Action are overcome and/or traversed for the reasons stated below.

I. Rejection of Claims 1-29 as Being Unpatentable Over Johnson In View Of Gartner Claims 1-29 currently stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of Gartner. The Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Amended independent claim 1 recites, inter alia, an object analyzer that in response to an information object access request by a user organizes the information objects by category into a database, and a user interface configured to display said organized information objects by category to the user for downloading or uploading selection.

In amended independent claim 17, a method of processing information access for downloading and uploading comprises: in response to an information object access request from a user, organizing said information objects to download or upload by category, and displaying said information objects organized by category for selection by the user.

None of the cited references, either independently considered or combined with each other, adequately teach or suggest each and every feature of the independent claims. In Johnson, the disclosed text categorizer does not organize information objects to download and upload by category into a database and display said organized information objects for selection by the user.

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

PAGE 10 of 12

Serial No. 09/938,912 Attorney Docket No. 87141937.2002

Gartner neither teaches and suggests a system or method of processing information access for downloading and uploading information objects in which, in response to an information object access request from a user, information objects are organized by category into a database and displayed for selection by the user.

For at least the foregoing reasons, the Applicants submit that claims 1 and 17 are patentable over Johnson and Gartner. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of these claims are respectfully requested. By virtue of their respective dependency on claims 1 and 17, claims 2-14 and 18-29 should also be allowable over the cited prior art.

In addition, new claims 30-35 are added to cover additional scopes of the invention.

Consideration of these claims is respectfully requested.

## CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that all of pending claims 1-14 and 17-35 of the present application patently define over the prior art and are in proper condition for allowance. If Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, Examiner is invited to call the undersigned. Because this filing is submitted within the three-month deadline, no Petition for Extension of Time is required. In connection to the additional fees required for the additional claims, Commissioner is authorized to deduct from Deposit Account 13-0480, Attorney Docket No. 87141937.2002.

If Examiner has any questions regarding this filing or the application in general, Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's attorney at the below-listed address.

**PAGE 11 OF 12** 

Serial No. 09/938,912 Attorney Docket No. 87141937,2002

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 15, 2004

Jemy W. Chen Reg. No. 44,604 BAKER & McKENZIE 2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75201